Case 9:21-cv-00122 Document 1-2 Filed 07/12/21 Page 1 of 13 PageID #: 6

MJREBA D. SQUYRES ANGELINA COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK PO BOX 908 LUFKIN, TX 75902-0908



9214 8901 0661 5400 0163 3702 82

RETURN RECEIPT (ELECTRONIC)

CV-01103-21-06/KS

JEFF MARSHBURN, CPA KENZIE'S OPTICS, INC. 809 WEST AVE CARTERSVILLE, GA 30120-6133

Zone 5

G"X9" EMVELOPE CUT / FOLD HERE

CITATION

CLERK OF THE COURT

Reba Squyres District Clerk

P.O. Box 908 Lufkin, Texas 75902 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

KEVIN M. FULLER P.O. Box 12086 **BEAUMONT TX 77726**

THE STATE OF TEXAS

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: "You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at Texaslawhelp.org."

TO: Kenzie's Optics, Inc., Defendant

GREETINGS: You are commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the plaintiff's petition at or before 10:00 o'clock a.m. of the Monday next after the expiration of 20 days after the date of service hereof, before the 159th Judicial District Court of Texas, at the Courthouse in Lufkin, Texas.

Said plaintiff's petition was filed on June 04, 2021 at 4:42 O'CLOCK P.M.

The file number of said suit being CV-01103-21-06.

The style of the case is:

BENJAMIN D. WINSTON, II AMAZON, INC. AND KENZIE'S OPTICS, INC

A copy of plaintiff's petition accompanies this citation.

Issued on this the 7th day of June, 2021.

GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT, at office in Lufkin, Texas, on this the 7th day of June, 2021.

> Reba Squyres, District Clerk Angelina County, Texas P.O. Box 908

Lufkin, Texas 75902

By: Kimberly Scott, Deputy District Clerk

delivered to defendant	original citation with	, on the day of	, wa
			, Officer
			, County, Texas
ADDRESS FOR SEDVI	CE.	By:	, Deputy
ADDRESS FOR SERVI Defendant: AGENT FOR Kenzie's Optics, Inc. 809 West Avenue Cartersville, GA 30120		ARSHBURN, CPA	
,		OFFICER'S RETURN	
person, a true copy of this	Citation andr with the accompany	, 20, at, ty, Texas by delivering to each of ying copy of the plaintiff's petition Place, Course and Dista	with the date of delivery n, at the following times and
The diligence used in find			
and the cause or failure to	execute this process	is:	
and the information received	ved as to the whereab	outs of said defendant(s) being:	
FEES: Serving Petition and Copy Total	\$ \$, Officer , County, Texas
		Ву:	, Deputy
		Af	fiant
*Rule 107 Verification: Sub	scribed and sworn to by, 20, to certify wh	the above namedich witness my hand and seal of office.	before me this the
		Person Ad	ministering Oath

Filed 6/4/2021 4:42 PM Reba Squyres, District Clerk Angelina County, Texas By: Kimberly Scott, Deputy Clerk

NO.CV-01103-21-06

BENJAMIN D. WINSTON, II	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
V.,	§	ANGELINA COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
AMAZON, INC. AND	§	
KENZIE'S OPTICS, INC	§	
Defendants	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, BENJAMIN D. WINSTON, II, a/k/a BEAU WINSTON, Plaintiff, and files this Plaintiff's Original Petition against Defendants herein and would show unto the Court as follows:

I. DISCOVERY LEVEL

1. Discovery is intended to be conducted under Level I of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190.2 as Plaintiff seeks recovery of up to but not more than TWO-HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND AND NO/100 (\$250,000.00).

II. PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, BENJAMIN D. WINSTON, II, a/k/a BEAU WINSTON (hereinafter called "BEAU WINSTON"), is an individual residing in Lufkin, Angelina County, Texas.
- 3. Defendant, AMAZON, INC., is a foreign corporation doing business in the State of Texas with a fulfillment distribution center in Haslet, Texas and has consented to jurisdiction in this state and may be served via certified mail upon its agent for service, Corporation Service Company d/b/a as CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service, at 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

4. Defendant, KENZIE'S OPTICS, INC., is a foreign corporation doing business in the State of Texas and may be served via certified mail upon its agent for service Jeff Marshburn, CPA, 809 West Avenue, Cartersville, Georgia, 30120.

III. VENUE

5. Venue is proper in Angelina County, Texas under Texas Civil Practices & Remedies Code §15.002(a)(1) and/or (4) and §15.017 and despite any forum selection clause in an adhesion contract calling for venue elsewhere, this suit is not based upon any contractual agreements but upon certain torts committed by Defendants and such forum selection clauses and choice of law clauses are inapplicable

IV. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- 5. On November 4, 2020, Plaintiff purchased a Trijicon AccuPower 4.5-30x56 Long Range Rifle Scope with a 34mm tube from Defendant, Kenzie's Optics, Inc. (hereinafter Kinzie's") through the retail website and fulfillment center owned and controlled by Defendant, Amazon, Inc. (hereafter "Amazon") The scope had a shipping weight of 5.45 pounds. Plaintiff paid \$1,921.44 for the purchase, including the product cost and applicable sales taxes.
- 6. Defendants caused to be shipped to Plaintiff another rifle scope of a far inferior quality and craftsmanship and weighing half as much as the product Plaintiff had ordered and for which he had already paid. Plaintiff immediately returned the item and brought it to the Defendants' attention that the item received was in fact a fake that had been put in a box that had been poorly decorated to look like it might have come from Kenzie's.

Actually, Amazon should have known better and never shipped the item to Plaintiff or anyone else to begin with.

7. When Amazon received the item in return, rather than refund the Plaintiff's money or send Plaintiff the correct product with an apology for his inconvenience, Defendants Kenzie and Amazon set out on a campaign to keep Plaintiff's funds and disparage the Plaintiff's reputation as a person that would keep the original item and then create a box and mail a cheap unbranded scope back with a false report of having not received what he had ordered and for which he had paid, all in a grand scheme to swindle Defendants out of less than \$2,000.00.

V. REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 8. Plaintiff requests that the Court enter a judgment pursuant to Texas Civil Practices & Remedies Code Chapter 37 declaring that Plaintiff did not receive the item ordered and is not liable to the Defendants for payment for the item shipped by Defendants.
- Plaintiff is entitled to recover his attorney's fees pursuant to Texas Civil Practices
 Remedies Code §37.009.

VI. SLANDER, LIBEL, DEFAMATION AND SLANDER *PER SE*

10. False and inaccurate reports made to Plaintiff's credit card issuer as well as to any other various entities, such as credit reporting agencies by Defendants, as well as the relay of such false information by such entities constitute slander, libel and defamation and alleging an illegal act such statements constitute libel and slander *per se*. The law presumes certain categories of statements are defamatory (or slander) per se, including statements that (1) unambiguously charge a crime, dishonesty, fraud, rascality, or general depravity or (2) that are falsehoods that injure one in his office, business, profession, or occupation. *Main v. Royall*, 348 S.W.3d 318, 390 (Tex. App.—Dallas 2011, no pet.). It is

up to Texas courts' interpretation of what statements are considered defamatory per se and Plaintiff alleges this Court should find the Defendant's statements defamatory per se. Specifically, Defendants published the following statement accusing Plaintiff of fraudulent, illegal and dishonest activity:

WHY NO REFUND IS DUE?

- 1) Item was delivered in undamaged condition & in given time frame. However, buyer returned a different item instead of an original item.
- 11. Defendants acting in concert also claimed they had shipped a 5.45 pound scope to Plaintiff and he had returned a different scope weighing a mere 2 pounds:

RETURNS INSPECTION:



As per our return inspection, customer has returned that does not match the original item weight is 5.450; it was shipped weighing 2.060, and has a received weight of 1.550.

Committee of the state of the s

Defendants knew or should have known their reports were false and defamatory. Defendants had in their possession the shipping ticket from UPS by which they had shipped the counterfeit, cheap, knockoff scope to Plaintiff rather than the quality 5.45 pound scope he had purchased. Had Defendants given one iota of concern, they could have looked at their shipping ticket as well as that of the Plaintiff and seen they had actually shipped Plaintiff a 2.30 pound package rather than the 5.45 pound package they claimed to have shipped!



Defendats further could have easily confirmed the shipping weight of the product they had shipped to Plaintiff through the UPS tracking system:



Case 9:21-cv-00122 Document 1-2 Filed 07/12/21 Page 9 of 13 PageID #: 14

Defendants acted with a conscious disregard for the truth of the assertions made

against Plaintiff. As a result of such reports Plaintiff has suffered embarrassment,

humiliation, and mental anguish. Plaintiff has also suffered monetary damages because of

the amount of time and expense incurred in dealing with such false reports by

Defendants.

14. In returning the fake, counterfeit item to Defendants, Plaintiff marked "Fake

Bullshit" on the box in order to prevent Defendants from simply returning the item to

stock and pawning it off again as a quality scope to an unsuspecting consumer. As further

evidence of the lack of concern for truthfulness and honesty, in reporting to Plaintiff's

credit card issuer, Defendants claimed that the item was returned "returned in

unacceptable condition", i.e. a condition that kept Defendants from returning the item to

stock and selling it to another victim. Amazon further made this report to Kenzie's, which

relayed the information to Plaintiff:

From: Jessica Story <jessica@kenzlesoptics.com> Date: January 20, 2021 at 6:09:30 PM CST

To: Beau Winston <beauwinston@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Counterfeit Amazon Sale - Benjamin D Winston II

Mr. Winston,

As previously stated on 3 occasions now, your order was fulfilled by Amazon. Your own actions in the item being sent back with profanity is why Amazon has not refunded you, as it is their typical policy to

immediately refund the customer if the customer has done no wrongdoing.

In actuality, one employee of Defendants had noted the returned item was in a

"frankensteined" box with paper manufacturer labels taped on it and that it did not fit any

description of the scope ordered by Plaintiff and had the item destroyed.

6

Finday, November apsadmin Package Id: null 20, 2020 8:01 AM

Bad Return Type: differentUnit Received Item: LPNPM289616147

Expected ASIN: B07DKQL2Z7

Disposition: destroyItem Disposition Remarks: Itam placed in destroy

Associate Remarks: received and unbranded 3-9x40EG riffle scope inside a frankensteined box with Trijicon markings pasted and taped on the outside, along with customer's obscene commentary on the outside. Item weights do not match (original item weight is 5.450; it was shipped weighing 2.060, and has a received weight of 1.550). Customer has no prior purchase for

a scope that looks like this, #RedPhone images captured

Associate Id: blehnhar

15. Plaintiff seeks judgment up to the amount of \$50,000 for libel, slander and

defamation damages.

VII.

CONVERSION

16. Conversion is the unauthorized and wrongful assumption and exercise of

dominion and control over the personal property of another to the exclusion of, or

inconsistent with, the owner's rights. Waisath v. Lack's Stores, Inc., 474 S.W.2d 444, 447

(Tex. 1971); Khorshid, Inc. v. Christian, 257 S.W.3d 748, 758-59 (Tex. App.-Dallas

2008, no pet.). In this instance Defendant, Amazon has taken and retained possession of

both the cheap counterfit product shipped to Plaintiff as well as Plaintiff's funds paid for

the purchase of the quality item, \$1,921.44. Amazon is liable for the cost of the item it

has retained, for which they had charged \$1,921.44 or for the return of \$1,921.44 paid for

such item.

VIII.

PERMANENT INJUNCTION

17. Defendant should be ordered to cause to be remove all reports of the defamatory

dispute from the records related to Plaintiff with Plaintiff's credit card provider as well as

any credit reporting agencies or other entities to which Defendants may have made a

similar defamatory report.

7

18. Defendant should be permanently enjoined from making further reports of the

defamatory dispute upon the Plaintiff's credit card provider as well as with any and all

credit reporting agencies.

19. Plaintiff asks the Court to set their request for permanent injunction for a full trial

on the merits and, after the trial, issue a permanent injunction against Defendants.

20. Plaintiff also requests Defendants be enjoined from retaliating against Plaintiff by

barring Plaintiff from any other or further purchases through Amazon as this particular

dispute is solely the fault of Defendants. Defendants have already threatened such action

if they were unable to retain the proceeds paid by Plaintiff regardless of the item they

provided to him:

From: cb-info@amazon.com

Date: January 21, 2021 at 12:05:18 PM CST

To: beauwinston@gmall.com

Subject: Re: Counterfeit purchase on Amazon - BD Winston Additional photos

Reply-To: cb-info+A3KFMNH3JJ6ZTN@amazon.com

Hello,

Your card issuer has repaid us for this order. Therefore, we no longer need to recharge you for this order. Please contact the credit card issuer for more information.

If we closed your account due to this dispute, we have now reinstated it. You should be able to sign in and place orders as normal.

We appreciate your cooperation in resolving this matter and apologize for any inconvenience during this process.

Sincerely,

Ishani

Account Specialist

Amazon.com | Amazon Disputes Team

IX. ATTORNEY FEES

21. Plaintiff has pleaded causes of action entitling Plaintiff to recover attorney fees. Plaintiff prays for reasonable and necessary attorney fees up to \$20,000.00.

X. Prayer For Relief

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendant as follows:

- 1. That at the conclusion of that final hearing, the Court enter a judgment pursuant to Texas Civil Practices & Remedies Code Chapter 35 declaring that Plaintiff did not receive the item ordered and is not liable to the Defendants for payment for the item shipped by Defendants.
- 2. That the Court award Plaintiff damages against Defendant for slander, libel, defamation and injury to her credit reputation in the amount of \$50,000;
- 3. That the Court award Plaintiffs attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,000;
- 4. That Defendants be permanently enjoined from making further reports regarding the disputed transaction upon the credit record of Plaintiff with any and all credit reporting agencies;
- 5. That Defendant be permanently enjoined from retaliating against Plaintiff for pursuing recovery of the damages caused by Defendants' conduct.
- 6. That Amazon is liable for the cost of the item it has retained, for which they had charged \$1,921.44 or for the return of \$1,921.44 paid for such item.
- 7. That Plaintiff be awarded pre- and post-judgment interest and costs of suit incurred herein.

8. That Plaintiff be awarded the TOTAL SUM of \$71,921.44 and such other and further legal and equitable relief as the Court may deem proper.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN M. FULLER P.O. Box 12086 Beaumont, Texas 77726 (409) 835-2700 phone (409) 835-2757 fax

Email: kfuller@kevinmfullerlawfirm.com

By: /s/ Kevin M. Fuller KEVIN M. FULLER TBA # 07521490